



NRC-CNRC

*Institute for
Information
Technology*

Models for Sustainable Open Educational Resources

Stephen Downes

National Research Council Canada

February 7, 2005



National Research
Council Canada

Conseil national
de recherches Canada

Canada

OERs...

- Intended to be open, shared**
- Leverage value of research**
- Expose it to widest audience**
- Maximize impact of investment**

Resources...

- Includes courses, content, courseware, learning objects**
- Not just learning materials, but aids, supports, etc.**
- Not just digital, include people, various media, programs, collaborations, partnerships...**

Sustainable...

- **Costs exist and may be significant**
- **Is measured from *provider* perspective...
but providers vary**
- **More than just cost – we need to consider
usability, durability, accessibility,
effectiveness**
- **Alternate objectives: free as in freedom**

Open...

- **vs. commercial resources? No...**
- **Implies no cost to consumers**
- **Includes not only access, but reuse, even modification**
- **Still: does not necessarily mean without constraints**

Funding Models (1)

Note how 'sustainable' varies

- **Endowment (eg., Stanford E of P)**
- **Membershio (eg. Sakai)**
- **Donations (eg. Wikipedia, Apache)**
- **Conversion (eg. MySQL, SuSe)**

Funding Models (2)

- **Contributor Pay (eg. PLoS)**
- **Sponsorship (eg., Stanford iTunes)**
- **Institutional (eg., OCW)**
- **Government (eg., SchoolNet)**
- **Partnerships (eg., MUN and Brazilian university)**

Technical

- **Driven by financial, other considerations, eg. Learning objects**
- **‘Free Use’ vs ‘Adapt and Localize’**
- **Access and usability – eg., browse, search, data-mining**
- **Impact on ‘open’ – eg., federations**

Content Models

- **‘Sustainable’ often means ‘localizable’ and tantamount to ‘reusable’**
- **Hence, requires integration – which in turn requires semantic similarity**
- **Questions of licensing, etc.**

Provider / Consumer

- **Content may reflect values of the provider – cultural imperialism**
- **Shift in emphasis toward collaborative development**
- **Sharing in all directions, north and south**
- **Hence, need to think of OERs with respect to the community that uses them**

Staffing

- **Traditional: hiring of professional staff to design and produce OERs**
- **Question of cost, use of volunteers**
- **This raises the question of motives (and again changes ‘sustainable’)**
- **Non-financial incentives**

Volunteer Organization

- **Community model – emphasis on individual members (eg. OSS)**
- **Emergent model – emphasis on process (eg., Slashdot, eBay)**
- **Producer-consumer model vs co-producer model – Web 2.0**

Workflow

- **Traditional – design, use, evaluation**
- **Quality: peer review? MERLOT**
- **Rethink the idea of ‘producing’**
- **Decentralize, disaggregate**
- **The ‘use’ of a resource constitutes the ‘production’ of a new resource**

Sustainable OERs...

- **Content only the beginning**
- **Consideration of the community essential**
- **Entails not just a mechanism but a *model* of production, use, distribution**
- **Existing structures (centralized, financially oriented, hierarchical) are often *barriers* to OERs**
- **We feel this in our communities**

Barriers...

- **Who gets funded (indiv. vs inst.)**
- **Scale of funding (large vs. small)**
- **Type of project (producer, centralized)**
- **Overhead (eg., licensing)**
- **Justification, quality (= overhead)**
- **Narrow view of 'sustainable' (= money, = commercial?)**
- **Access / control**

NRC CNRC

*Institute for
Information
Technology*

<http://www.downes.ca>

Science
— at work for —
Canada



National Research
Council Canada

Conseil national
de recherches Canada

Canada